

1. Prove the statement below using induction.

The expression $n^3 + 5n + 6$ is divisible by 3 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

(1) **Base case** ($n = 1$): In this case, $n^3 + 5n + 6 = 12$ which is divisible by 3. Hence, the statement holds for $n = 1$.

(2) **Inductive step:** Assume that for some $k \geq 1$, $k^3 + 5k + 6$ is divisible by 3. Thus, $k^3 + 5k + 6 = 3m$, for some integer m .

We must show that $(k + 1)^3 + 5(k + 1) + 6$ is divisible by 3.

Observe

$$\begin{aligned} (k + 1)^3 + 5(k + 1) + 6 &= (k^3 + 3k^2 + 3k + 1) + (5k + 5) + 6 && \text{by expansion} \\ &= (k^3 + 5k + 6) + (3k^2 + 3k + 6) && \text{collecting terms} \\ &= 3m + 3(k^2 + k + 2) && \text{inductive hypothesis, factoring} \\ &= 3(m + k^2 + k + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(k + 1)^3 + 5(k + 1) + 6 = 3(m + k^2 + k + 2)$ where $m + k^2 + k + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that 3 divides $(k + 1)^3 + 5(k + 1) + 6$. □

2. Can you think of any **other** ways one might prove the statement above?

Try by cases: $n = 3\ell$, $n = 3\ell + 1$ and $n = 3\ell + 2$.

3. Proof by **Strong Induction**

Proposition: For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(n)$.

proof: (by induction on n)

(1) **Base Step:** Prove as many instances $P(1), P(2), \dots$ in order to make your induction step work.

(2) **Inductive Step:** Suppose that for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, all statements $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k)$ are true. Show $P(k + 1)$ is true.

4. **Proposition:** Let a_n be the sequence such that $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 4$ and $a_n = 3a_{n-1} - 2a_{n-2}$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $a_n = 3 \cdot 2^n - 2$.

First, make sure you understand the statement and crunch a few numbers.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

(1) **Base cases** ($n = 0$ and $n = 1$): We know $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 4$ by definition. We check that the closed formula gives the same values:

$$a_0 = 3 \cdot 2^0 - 2 = 1 \text{ and } a_1 = 3 \cdot 2^1 - 2 = 4.$$

Thus, the proposition holds for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$.

(2) **Inductive step:** Assume that for some $k \geq 1$, the term a_i is equal to $3 \cdot 2^i - 2$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$. We must show that the term a_{k+1} is equal to $3 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 2$.

Observe

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{k+1} &= 3a_k - 2a_{k-1} && \text{definition of } a_{k+1} \\
 &= 3(3 \cdot 2^k - 2) - 2(3 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 2) && \text{inductive hypothesis for } k \text{ and } k-1 \\
 &= 9 \cdot 2^k - 6 - 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 4 && \text{expansion} \\
 &= 9 \cdot 2^k - 3 \cdot 2^k - 6 && \text{combine terms} \\
 &= 6 \cdot 2^k - 6 && \text{combine terms} \\
 &= 3 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 6 && ,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is what we needed to show.

□

5. Proposition: Every integer $n > 1$ has a prime factorization.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

(1) **Base cases ($n = 2$):** The integer 2 is a prime and this is its own prime factorization.

(2) **Inductive step:** Assume that for some $k \geq 2$, the integer i has a prime factorization for all $2 \leq i \leq k$. We must show that the integer $k + 1$ has a prime factorization. We will proceed by cases.

Case 1: Suppose the integer $k + 1$ is prime.

Then $k + 1$ is its own prime factorization.

Case 2: Suppose the integer $k + 1$ is not prime.

Then $k + 1$ is composite and therefore can be written as a product of two integers, say a and b such that $2 \leq a < k + 1$ and $2 \leq b < k + 1$. Since a and b are both integers strictly less than $k + 1$ and at least 2, the inductive hypothesis applies to each of them. Thus, both a and b have prime factorizations. Since $k + 1 = ab$, it follows that the prime factorizations of a and b together form a prime factorization of $k + 1$. □

(Bonus:) Any postage, n , can be made from 3-cent and 7-cent stamps provided $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n > 12$.